



Mohamed Khalil Ben-Larbi (Autor)  
**Guidance, Control and Docking for CubeSat-based  
Active Debris Removal**



<https://cuvillier.de/de/shop/publications/8869>

Copyright:  
Cuvillier Verlag, Inhaberin Annette Jentsch-Cuvillier, Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen,  
Germany  
Telefon: +49 (0)551 54724-0, E-Mail: [info@cuvillier.de](mailto:info@cuvillier.de), Website: <https://cuvillier.de>

# Contents

<b>Contents - Inhalt</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Kurzzusammenfassung</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>xiii</b>
Acronyms . . . . .	xiii
Symbols . . . . .	xvii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.1.1 The evolution of space technology and environment . . . . .	1
1.1.2 Problem statement . . . . .	5
1.2 State of the art . . . . .	5
1.2.1 Historical overview of rendezvous in space . . . . .	5
1.2.2 Mission architecture and operations for rendezvous and docking . . . . .	6
1.2.3 Rendezvous and docking with a non-cooperative target . . . . .	7
1.2.4 Proposed ADR concepts . . . . .	8
1.2.5 Small satellite ADR . . . . .	10
1.2.6 Conclusions and Research Gaps . . . . .	12
1.3 Objectives and Thesis Approach . . . . .	12
1.3.1 Research questions formulation . . . . .	12
1.3.2 Thesis Structure and Methodology . . . . .	13
<b>2 Technical Challenges for CubeSat based ADR</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Current and Future Space Debris Challenges . . . . .	15
2.1.1 The Space Debris Population . . . . .	15
2.1.2 Recent Trends and Long Term Evolution . . . . .	17
2.2 Prioritization of potential targets for ADR . . . . .	19
2.2.1 Environmental metrics . . . . .	19
2.2.2 Mission challenges . . . . .	22
2.3 The Evolution of CubeSat . . . . .	22
2.3.1 CubeSat Propulsion . . . . .	23
2.3.1.1 Chemical Propulsion . . . . .	23
2.3.1.2 Electric Propulsion . . . . .	25
2.3.2 CubeSat GNC . . . . .	26
2.3.3 Other CubeSat Subsystems . . . . .	26
<b>3 Concept of Operations for CubeSat based ADR</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1 Mission objectives and design drivers . . . . .	27
3.1.1 Objectives and boundary conditions . . . . .	27
3.1.2 Success criteria . . . . .	28
3.1.3 Deorbiting strategy . . . . .	28
3.1.4 Power management . . . . .	30
3.2 Mission and system analysis . . . . .	30
3.2.1 Design reference mission . . . . .	30
3.2.2 Requirements decomposition and allocation . . . . .	32
3.2.3 Functional Architecture . . . . .	33
3.2.4 Physical architecture . . . . .	34

3.2.5	Design and performance analysis . . . . .	36
<b>4</b>	<b>Spacecraft Relative Motion Modeling</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1	Theoretical background . . . . .	37
4.1.1	Reference frames . . . . .	37
4.1.2	Relative motion models . . . . .	38
4.2	Dynamics of relative motion . . . . .	39
4.2.1	Linearized equations of relative motion . . . . .	39
4.2.2	State-space representation . . . . .	40
4.2.3	Solution of the linearized equations of motion . . . . .	40
4.2.4	Relative orbital elements . . . . .	42
4.2.5	Inclusion of perturbations . . . . .	43
4.3	Final Comments . . . . .	45
<b>5</b>	<b>Modeling and simulation methodology</b>	<b>47</b>
5.1	Adopted modeling and simulation approach . . . . .	47
5.1.1	Systems engineering . . . . .	47
5.1.2	The Verification and Validation Issue . . . . .	48
5.1.3	Modeling and Simulation . . . . .	49
5.1.4	Conclusion and proposed strategy . . . . .	51
5.2	Simulation environments description . . . . .	53
5.2.1	The DIFFRACT environment . . . . .	53
5.2.2	The SCATTER environment . . . . .	54
5.2.3	The ELISSA environment . . . . .	55
5.2.4	The ASTROBEE environment . . . . .	56
<b>6</b>	<b>Design and Qualification of an Active Air Bearing Table</b>	<b>57</b>
6.1	State of the art of air bearing based dynamic simulators . . . . .	57
6.1.1	Degrees of freedom . . . . .	59
6.1.2	Propulsion . . . . .	60
6.1.3	Navigation . . . . .	61
6.2	ELISSA requirements and design . . . . .	62
6.2.1	Concept of Operations . . . . .	62
6.2.2	Aeromechanical subsystem design . . . . .	63
6.2.3	Motion capture subsystem design . . . . .	64
6.2.4	Free flyer subsystem design . . . . .	65
6.2.5	Mission Control subsystem design . . . . .	68
6.3	ELISSA Characterization and Qualification Testing . . . . .	72
6.3.1	Qualitative evaluation of the final design . . . . .	72
6.3.2	Residual acceleration . . . . .	72
6.3.3	Control Accuracy . . . . .	74
<b>7</b>	<b>Low-Thrust Guidance Strategy</b>	<b>77</b>
7.1	Relative motion guidance formulation . . . . .	77
7.1.1	Gauss' variational equations . . . . .	78
7.1.2	Impulsive thrust formulation for relative guidance . . . . .	78
7.1.3	Relation to the relative state transition matrix . . . . .	79
7.1.4	Finite-duration thrust formulation for relative guidance . . . . .	79
7.2	Impulsive thrust maneuver design . . . . .	80
7.2.1	Normal maneuvers . . . . .	80
7.2.2	Tangential maneuvers . . . . .	81
7.2.3	Radial maneuvers . . . . .	82
7.3	Finite-duration thrust maneuver design . . . . .	82
7.3.1	Normal maneuvers . . . . .	82
7.3.2	Tangential maneuvers . . . . .	83
7.3.3	Radial maneuvers . . . . .	84
7.4	Proposed guidance schemes . . . . .	84
7.4.1	Impulsive 4T2N scheme . . . . .	84
7.4.2	Impulsive 2R2T2N scheme . . . . .	85

7.4.3	Finite-duration thrust planning . . . . .	88
7.5	Simulation Results . . . . .	89
7.5.1	Impulsive planing verification . . . . .	89
7.5.2	Finite-duration planing verification . . . . .	90
<b>8</b>	<b>Feedback Linearized Differential Drag Control</b> . . . . .	<b>95</b>
8.1	The concept of differential drag control . . . . .	95
8.1.1	Previous work . . . . .	95
8.1.2	proposed approach . . . . .	97
8.2	Control Design . . . . .	97
8.2.1	Controllability Considerations . . . . .	97
8.2.2	Input-output linearization . . . . .	99
8.2.3	Stability Analysis . . . . .	101
8.3	Numerical Validation . . . . .	103
8.3.1	Simulation Scenario . . . . .	103
8.3.2	Reference trajectory generation . . . . .	104
8.3.3	Simulation setup . . . . .	105
8.3.4	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	107
<b>9</b>	<b>Docking using Gecko-inspired Adhesives</b> . . . . .	<b>111</b>
9.1	Bio-inspired micropatterned dry adhesives . . . . .	111
9.1.1	Adhesion contact splitting principle . . . . .	112
9.1.2	Manufacturing techniques and industrial applications . . . . .	113
9.2	Micropatterned dry adhesives for active debris removal . . . . .	114
9.2.1	Anisotropic MDA used in space robotics . . . . .	116
9.2.2	Isotropic MDA used in space robotics . . . . .	116
9.2.3	Performed docking experiments . . . . .	118
9.3	Docking mechanism design and technical equipment . . . . .	121
9.3.1	Chaser mechanism design . . . . .	121
9.3.2	Selected MDA specimen . . . . .	123
9.3.3	Target mechanism design . . . . .	126
9.3.4	Selected target samples . . . . .	126
9.4	Ground Testing . . . . .	127
9.4.1	Experimental realization on ELISSA . . . . .	127
9.4.2	Results and evaluation of ELISSA test campaign . . . . .	131
9.4.3	The validation test campaign at NASA ARC . . . . .	134
9.5	On-orbit testing . . . . .	136
9.5.1	Flight hardware integration and launch . . . . .	137
9.5.2	Installation and checkout procedure . . . . .	137
9.5.3	Crew and ground operations . . . . .	138
9.5.4	Results and evaluation . . . . .	140
<b>10</b>	<b>Conclusion and Outlook</b> . . . . .	<b>145</b>
10.1	Conclusions . . . . .	145
10.1.1	Summary . . . . .	145
10.1.2	Research Contributions . . . . .	146
10.2	Limitations and Outlook . . . . .	147
	<b>References</b> . . . . .	<b>150</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>CubeSat Hardware</b> . . . . .	<b>171</b>
A.1	Overview of CubeSat COTS components . . . . .	171
A.1.1	PropulsionsSubsystem . . . . .	171
A.1.2	EPS subsystem . . . . .	174
A.1.3	GNC subsystem . . . . .	174
A.2	Selection of CubeSat hardware for the reference mission scenario . . . . .	174

---

<b>B</b>	<b>Additional Data for Guidance and Control</b>	<b>177</b>
B.1	Differential drag control data . . . . .	177
B.1.1	Transition times . . . . .	177
B.1.2	Settings for automatic PID tuning in Matlab . . . . .	177
B.1.3	PID feedback gains . . . . .	178
B.1.4	IOL gains . . . . .	179
B.2	Guidance additional plots . . . . .	179
<b>C</b>	<b>Additional Data for Docking</b>	<b>183</b>
C.1	Docking mechanism additional drawings . . . . .	183
C.1.1	Chaser mechanism drawings . . . . .	183
C.2	Ground experiments . . . . .	184
C.2.1	Surface roughness of target samples . . . . .	184
C.2.2	Force measurement during docking . . . . .	186
C.2.3	ELISSA docking results . . . . .	188
C.2.4	ARC granite lab docking results . . . . .	190
C.3	On-orbit experiments . . . . .	196