



Michael Botsch (Autor)  
**Machine Learning Techniques for Time Series  
Classification**



<https://cuvillier.de/de/shop/publications/8834>

Copyright:  
Cuvillier Verlag, Inhaberin Annette Jentsch-Cuvillier, Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen,  
Germany  
Telefon: +49 (0)551 54724-0, E-Mail: [info@cuvillier.de](mailto:info@cuvillier.de), Website: <https://cuvillier.de>

# Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Outline and Major Contributions of the Thesis . . . . .	2
1.3 Notation . . . . .	4
<b>2. Machine Learning</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Basics of Statistical Learning . . . . .	5
2.1.1 Parametric and Nonparametric Techniques for Classification . . . . .	7
2.1.2 Learning and Generalization in Classification Tasks . . . . .	9
2.1.2.1 Bias-Variance Decomposition . . . . .	10
2.1.2.2 Evaluation of Machine Learning Algorithms in Practice . . . . .	13
2.2 Machine Learning Algorithms for Classification . . . . .	20
2.2.1 Linear Basis Expansion Models for Classification . . . . .	20
2.2.2 Multi-Layer Perceptron Neural Networks for Classification . . . . .	22
2.2.3 Kernel Classifiers . . . . .	24
2.2.4 Classification and Regression Trees . . . . .	25
2.2.5 Ensemble Learning . . . . .	30
2.2.5.1 Bias-Variance Framework in Averaging Ensemble Models . . . . .	30
2.2.5.2 Random Forest Algorithm . . . . .	33
2.3 Feature Extraction . . . . .	39
2.3.1 Feature Generation . . . . .	40
2.3.2 Feature Selection . . . . .	43
2.3.2.1 Feature Selection with CART . . . . .	43
2.3.2.2 Feature Selection with RF . . . . .	45
<b>3. Interpretable Generalized Radial Basis Function Classifiers Based on the Random Forest Kernel</b>	<b>49</b>
3.1 Kernels Defined by Ensembles of CART Classifiers . . . . .	50
3.2 GRBF Based on the RF Kernel . . . . .	53
3.3 Pruning . . . . .	56
3.4 Experimental Results . . . . .	59
<b>4. Classification of Temporal Data</b>	<b>65</b>
4.1 Time Series Representation and Dimensionality Reduction . . . . .	66
4.2 Difficulties in Time Series Classification . . . . .	75
4.3 Classical Approaches for Time Series Classification . . . . .	77
4.4 Similarity Measures for Time Series . . . . .	82
4.5 Feature Generation for Time Series Classification . . . . .	94
4.5.1 Global Features . . . . .	95
4.5.2 Local Features . . . . .	97

---

4.5.3	Event-Based Features . . . . .	100
<b>5.</b>	<b>Classification in Car Safety Systems</b>	<b>105</b>
5.1	The Car Crash Dataset . . . . .	105
5.2	Evaluation of Classification Performance . . . . .	109
<b>6.</b>	<b>Scenario-Based Random Forest for On-Line Time Series Classification</b>	<b>113</b>
6.1	Problem Formulation . . . . .	113
6.2	The Scenario-Based Random Forest Algorithm . . . . .	115
6.3	Feature Selection with SBRF . . . . .	117
6.3.1	Wrapper Method . . . . .	118
6.3.2	Embedded Methods . . . . .	119
6.4	SBRF for Car Crash Classification . . . . .	122
<b>7.</b>	<b>Segmentation and Labeling for On-Line Time Series Classification</b>	<b>139</b>
7.1	On-Line Segmentation of Time Series . . . . .	140
7.1.1	Reduction of the Number of Change Detectors . . . . .	145
7.1.2	Linear Change Detectors . . . . .	146
7.1.3	Segmentation for Car Crash Classification . . . . .	149
7.2	Labeling Classifiers for On-Line Time Series Classification . . . . .	152
7.2.1	GRBF for Car Crash Classification . . . . .	153
7.2.2	Temporal Prototypes for Car Crash Classification . . . . .	162
<b>8.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>175</b>
8.1	Comparison of the Presented Methods for Car Crash Classification . . . . .	175
8.2	Contributions and Future Work . . . . .	178
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Appendix on Machine Learning Procedures</b>	<b>183</b>
A.1	Bias-Variance Decomposition for Regression . . . . .	183
A.2	Example for the Bias-Variance Decomposition for Classification . . . . .	183
A.3	Bias-Variance Decomposition for Classification . . . . .	185
A.4	Computation of $\mathbf{W}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ with $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y} \mathbf{x})$ Versus $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ as Target Vector . . . . .	185
A.5	Confidence Mapping . . . . .	186
A.6	Support Vector Machines . . . . .	188
A.7	Minimum Local Risk in CART Classification . . . . .	190
A.8	Random Forests Do Not Overfit . . . . .	191
A.9	Computation of the Gradient for the GRBF Algorithm . . . . .	192
A.10	The AdaTron Algorithm . . . . .	192
<b>B.</b>	<b>List of Frequently Used Symbols</b>	<b>195</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>199</b>